

Straightforward Assembly of Benzoxepines by Means of a Rhodium(III)-Catalyzed C-H Functionalization of *o*-Vinylphenols

Andrés Seoane, Noelia Casanova, Noelia Quiñones, José L. Mascareñas,* and Moisés Gulías*

Centro Singular de Investigación en Química Biolóxica e Materiais Moleculares (CIQUS) and Departamento de Química Orgánica, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Readily available *o*-vinylphenols undergo a formal (5 + 2) cycloaddition to alkynes when treated with catalytic amounts of $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ and $Cu(OAc)_2$. The reaction, which involves the cleavage of the terminal C–H bond of the alkenyl moiety, generates highly valuable benzoxepine skeletons in a practical, versatile, and atom-economical manner. Using carbon monoxide instead of an alkyne as reaction partner leads to coumarin products which formally result from a (5 + 1) cycloaddition.

M etal-catalyzed cycloadditions involving the coordination and activation of π -electrons have revolutionized the way of making cyclic compounds.¹ In recent years there have been an increasing number of reports on a new type of metalcatalyzed annulations that involve as a key step the activation of C–H bonds.² These reactions have provided for the easy construction of a variety of rings, mainly five- and sixmembered heterocycles, through formal $(3 + 2)^3$ or $(4 + 2)^4$ cycloadditions. Remarkably, the assembly of larger rings by means of related annulations remains to be developed.⁵

Herein we describe a new type of heteroannulation involving a C–H activation process that allows the synthesis of benzoxepines from extremely simple precursors in a formal (5 + 2) cycloaddition reaction.⁶ The benzoxepine skeleton forms the basic core of many molecules with pharmacological importance such bauhinoxepin A, bulbophylol B or janoxepin (see Figure 1),⁷ and therefore methods that allow their assembly from readily available precursors are of major interest.⁸



Figure 1. Representative compounds containing the oxepine core.

Our work started by identifying 2-hydroxystyrenes as readily available substrates that might engage in rhodium-catalyzed heteroannulations with alkynes via reactions involving a C–H activation step. At the outset, the regiochemistry of the potential annulation was quite unpredictable, as *a priori* there are three different C–H positions susceptible to activation, and therefore the reaction might lead to five-, six-, or sevenmembered rings. While the formation of benzofuranes from phenols using Rh(III) catalysts has not been described,⁹ precedents in the annulation of naphthols with alkynes pointed to the formation of chromene-type molecules (**B**) as a viable outcome for the reaction (Figure 2).¹⁰



Figure 2. Different annulation options for *o*-vinylphenols using a Rh(III) catalyst.

Remarkably, reaction of alkyne 2a with 2 equiv of 2vinylphenol (1a) in the presence of catalytic amounts of $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ (Cp* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) and 2.1 equiv of $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$, in toluene at 100 °C, did not give the benzofurane- or chromene-type of products but gave the oxepine **3aa** in 52% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Using $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2$ instead of the rhodium complex led to the majoritary recovery of the starting material, while $\operatorname{RuCl}_2(p$ -cymene)]₂ induced the decomposition of 1a. After screening several solvents, we found that using acetonitrile instead of toluene leads to a considerable increase in the yield up to 91%. Finally, we found that carrying out the reaction under an air atmosphere (balloon) allowed to decrease the amount of 1a and $Cu(OAc)_2$ to 1.5 equiv and 0.5 equiv, respectively, without compromising the yield (97%, after 1h at 85 °C, entry 7). The loading of Cu(OAc)₂ can be decreased to 10%; however, the reaction is slower (entry 8).

With the optimized conditions in hand we investigated the scope with regard to the alkyne component (Scheme 1).

Received: October 14, 2013 Published: December 6, 2013 Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction^a



^a0.33 mmol of **2a**, 2 mL of solvent, 2.1 equiv of $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$. ^bIsolated yield of **3aa** (based on **2a**). ^c0.5 equiv $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O/air$ balloon. ^d0.1 equiv of $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O/air$ balloon, 16 h.

Scheme 1. Scope with Respect to the Alkyne Component a,b



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 0.33 mmol of **2**, 0.50 mmol of **1a**, $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ (2.5 mol %), 0.5 equiv Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O, 2 mL of CH₃CN at 85 °C, air balloon, overnight. ^{*b*}Isolated yield based on **2**.

Symmetrical alkynes bearing electron-rich or electron-deficient aryl substituents (**2b** and **2c**) led to the expected products **3ab** and **3ac** in good yields (85% and 71%). Dialkyl-substituted alkynes, such as **2d**, **2e**, and **2f**, also participate in the process, although the yields are slightly lower (52-65%).

Interestingly, with unsymmetrical aryl-alkyl alkynes the reaction takes place with high regioselectivity, leading to products in which the phenyl group is in the carbon tethered to the oxygen group of the product. Thus, alkyne **2g** afforded the product **3ag** in excellent yield and regioselectivity (14:1). Similar results were obtained with an alkynylester (**3ah**, 99% yield, 8:1 regioselectivity) or with an alkyne bearing a hydroxy group like **2i** (65% yield, 11:1).

The reaction is compatible with a wide variety of substituents in the aryl group of the vinylphenol (Scheme 2). The required Scheme 2. Reaction with Phenols Equipped with Different Substituents a,b



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 0.33 mmol of **2**, 0.50 mmol of **1**, $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ (2.5 mol %), 0.5 equiv Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O, 2 mL of CH₃CN at 85 °C, air balloon, overnight. ^{*b*}Isolated yield (based on **2**).

phenolic substrates (1b-1l), when there are no commercial sources, are easily assembled from the corresponding salicylaldehydes through a Wittig reaction with a methylene-phosphorous ylide.

We first investigated the influence of the substituent in the position *para* to the hydroxyl group. As shown in Scheme 2, the reaction works in substrates bearing substituents with either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing properties, including methoxy, methyl, phenyl, chloro, bromo, or ester groups, and the oxepine products are obtained in good to excellent yields (3ba-3ga, 60-89%) Moreover, substituents in the position *meta* to the hydroxyl group such as methoxy, methyl, bromide, and trifluoromethyl (phenols 1h-1k) or in *ortho* (11), are also tolerated, and the products 3ha-3la are isolated with good yields. Finally, reaction of bromo-substituted vinyphenol (1f) with the asymmetric alkyne 2g led to the corresponding product 3fg in 68% yield as a single regioisomer.

Interestingly, the reaction does not proceed in substrates with alkyl substituents at the terminal position of the alkene such as (E)-2-(prop-1-en-1-yl)phenol, which decomposed under the reaction conditions.

Competition experiments revealed that the phenol 1g reacts preferentially to 1a when mixed together with the alkyne 2a under the standard reaction conditions (Scheme 3). However, in separate experiments we found that 1a reacts faster than electron-deficient substrates 1g of 1k.¹¹ This divergence could be explained in terms of an initial and irreversible formation of a phenoxide—Rh complex, as this might be easier for the more acidic substrate 1g; however, the subsequent C—H activation step might be more favorable for the more electron-rich substrate 1a.

Intermolecular competition experiments between 1a and the deuterated analogue 1a- d_2 , demonstrated a kinetic isotope effect ($k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} = 2.7$), which suggests that the C–H bond cleavage is involved in the rate-limiting step.

Of mechanistic relevance, treatment of allylphenol 4 with diphenylacetylene under the standard conditions led to





recovery of a majority of the starting materials (Scheme 4), which suggests that the conjugation of the vinyl moiety to the aryl group is critical for a successful outcome.

Scheme 4. Reaction of 2-Allylphenol



Although a precise reaction mechanism cannot be definitively established, a proposal consistent with the current data is outlined in Scheme 5. The process most probably starts with the phenolic substrate 1 replacing one of the acetates of the catalyst to give intermediate I.¹² This complex might evolve to the rhodacycle II either through a typical concerted metalation-deprotonation step (CMD) or by an intramolecular electrophilic attack of the conjugated alkene to the electrophilic rhodium (I) followed by a base-assisted deprotonation to yield

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanistic Cycle



the re-aromatized intermediate (II). From intermediate II the process should involve alkyne coordination followed by migratory insertion to give intermediate III, which evolves through reductive elimination to the final product and a Rh(I) species which is then reoxidized to enter a new catalytic cycle.

Consistent with the formation of rhodacycle II, we found that treatment of the *o*-alkenyl phenols with carbon monoxide (balloon pressure) under the reaction conditions produces highly appealing coumarin products (6) in very good yields (Scheme 6).¹³

Scheme 6. Synthesis of Coumarins^a



"Reaction conditions: 0.50 mmol of 1a, $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ (2.5 mol %), 1.2 equiv Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O, 2 mL of CH₃CN at 85 °C, carbon monoxide balloon, overnight.

In summary, we have developed the first example of a metalcatalyzed (5 + 2) cycloaddition formally involving a C–H activation process. The method provides a fast, efficient, and practical route to benzoxepines using commercial or readily available *o*-vinylphenols and alkynes as starting materials. Replacement of the alkyne component by carbon monoxide allows assembly of coumarin derivatives in a straightforward manner. Further mechanistic studies are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and characterization for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

joseluis.mascarenas@usc.es moises.gulias@usc.es

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are thankful for the financial support provided by the Spanish Grants (SAF2010-20822-C02 and CSD2007-00006 Consolider Ingenio 2010), the ERDF and the Xunta de Galicia Grants GRC2010/12, GR2013-041, INCITE09 209084PR and EM2013/036. M.G thanks Xunta de Galicia for a Parga Pondal contract.

REFERENCES

 (1) For selected reviews of metal-catalyzed cycloadditions see:
(a) Lautens, M.; Klute, W.; Tam, W. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 49–92.
(b) Schore, N. E. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1081–1119.
(c) Gulias, M.; Lopez, F.; Mascarenas, J. L. Pure Appl. Chem. 2011, 83, 495–506.
(d) Lopez, F.; Mascarenas, J. L. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 1075– 1094.
(e) Inglesby, P. A.; Evans, P. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 2791– 2805.
(f) Yu, Z.-X.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y. Chem. Asian J. 2010, 5, 1072– 1088.

(2) For selected recent reviews of metal-catalyzed C-H functionalizations, see: (a) Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 369-375. (b) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788-802. (c) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215-1292. (d) Wencel-Delord, J.; Droege, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4740-4761. (e) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 677-685. (f) Fagnou, K. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 292, 35-56. (g) Daugulis, O. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 292, 57-84. (h) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147-1169. (i) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 624-655. For a review of rhodium-catalyzed oxidative annulation of aromatic substrates with alkynes or alkenes, see: (j) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 11212-11222.

(3) For selected examples, see: (a) Stuart, D. R.; Bertrand-Laperle, M.; Burgess, K. M. N.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16474–16475. (b) Shi, Z.; Zhang, C.; Li, S.; Pan, D.; Ding, S.; Cui, Y.; Jiao, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4572–4576. (c) Rakshit, S.; Patureau, F. W.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9585–9587. (d) Stuart, D. R.; Alsabeh, P.; Kuhn, M.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 18326–18339. (e) Huestis, M. P.; Chan, L.; Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1338–1341. (f) Chen, J.; Pang, Q.; Sun, Y.; Li, X. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 3523–3526.

(4) For selected examples, see: (a) Guimond, N.; Gouliaras, C.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6908-6909. (b) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V.; Hofmann, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6379-6382. (c) Neely, J. M.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 66-69. (d) Hyster, T. K.; Knorr, L.; Ward, T. R.; Rovis, T. Science 2012, 338, 500-503. (e) Ye, B.; Cramer, N. Science 2012, 338, 504-506. (f) Xu, X.; Liu, Y.; Park, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9372-9376. (g) Tan, X.; Liu, B.; Li, X.; Li, B.; Xu, S.; Song, H.; Wang, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16163-16166. (h) Quinones, N.; Seoane, A.; Garcia-Fandino, R.; Mascarenas, J. L.; Gulias, M. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2874-2879. (i) Luo, C.-Z.; Gandeepan, P.; Cheng, C.-H. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8528-8530. (j) Huckins, J. R.; Bercot, E. A.; Thiel, O. R.; Hwang, T.-L.; Bio, M. M J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14492-14495. (k) Dooley, J. D.; Reddy Chidipudi, S.; Lam, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10829-10836.

(5) Formal (4 + 3) annulations based on C-H activation have been recently reported: (a) Cui, S.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, Q. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3421–3426. (b) Cui, S.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, D.; Wu, Q. Chem. Sci 2013, 4, 3912–3916. (c) Shi, Z.; Grohmann, C.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5393–5397.

(6) For representative intermolecular (5 + 2) annulations leading to azepine skeletons, see: (a) Wender, P. A.; Pedersen, T. M.; Scanio, M. J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 15154–15155. (b) Zhou, M.-B.; Song, R.-J.; Wang, C.-Y.; Li, J.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 10805–10808.

(7) (a) Narita, K.; Nakamura, K.; Abe, Y.; Katoh, T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 4985–4988 and references therein. (b) Sprogoe, K.; Manniche, S.; Larsen, T. O.; Christophersen, C. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 8718–8721.

(8) For a review on the synthesis of oxepines including benzoxepines, see: Snyder, N. L.; Haines, H. M.; Peczuh, M. W. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 9301–9320.

(9) For Pd(II)-catalyzed annulation of phenols and alkynes leading to benzofuranes see: (a) Kuram, M. R.; Bhanuchandra, M.; Sahoo, A. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 4607–4612. For a Rh(III)-catalyzed synthesis of benzofuranes from N-Phenoxyacetamides see: (b) Liu, G.; Shen, Y.; Zhou, Z.; Lu, X. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6033–6037.

(10) (a) Morimoto, K.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9548–9551. (b) Mochida, S.; Shimizu, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem. Asian J. 2010, 5, 847–851. For a related activation of internal C–H bonds of alkenes see: (c) Hu, J.; Hirao, H.; Li, Y.; Zhou, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8676–8680.

(11) NMR analysis of the reaction using an internal standard indicated that, while **3aa** was formed in 45% yield after 10 min of reaction, **3ga** was formed in 28% yield. The formation of the trifluoromethylaryl derivative **3ka** is also much slower than that of **3aa** (see SI for more details).

(12) The formation of RhCp* $(OAc)_2$ as the active species has been proposed for similar reactions, see for instance: (a) Hyster, T. K.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 10565–10569. (b) Xu, L.; Zhu, Q.; Huang, G.; Cheng, B.; Xia, Y. J. Org. Chem. **2012**, 77, 3017–3024. (13) For related metal-catalyzed carbonylation methods, see: (a) Ferguson, J.; Zeng, F.; Alper, H. Org. Lett. **2012**, 14, 5602– 5605. (b) Inamoto, K.; Kadokawa, J.; Kondo, Y. Org. Lett. **2013**, 15, 3962–3965. (c) Sasano, K.; Takaya, J.; Iwasawa, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 10954–10957. (d) Luo, S.; Luo, F.-X.; Zhang, X.-S.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 10598–10601. (e) Jiang, G.-J.; Fu, X.-F.; Li, Q.; Yu, Z.-X. Org. Lett. **2012**, 14, 692–695.